Journal of Research in Biology

An International Scientific Research Journal

Case Study

The effect of aggregates stability and physico-chemical properties of gullies' soil: a case study of Ghori-chai watershed in the Ardabil province, Iran

Authors:

Akbar Norouzi Shokrlu¹, Mehdi Pajoohesh¹ and Behnam Farid Gigloo²

Institution:

1. Department of Range and Watershed Management, University of Shahrekord, Iran.

2. Department of Range and Watershed Management, University of Kashan, Iran.

Corresponding author: Akbar Norouzi Shokrlu

ABSTRACT:

Soil erosion, "particularly gully erosion" is considered as the most important factors of land degradation in semi-arid regions, since Iran is located in a semi-arid region, it is highly susceptible to degradation and erosion. The current study done on land was exposed to erosion in the Ghori-chai watershed, Ardabil province. In order to know the soil samples, they were collected from the gully heads of two depths (0-30, 30-60 cm) (the active points of gullies). Physico-chemical properties of soil samples were analyzed in the field and laboratory. GMD (Geometric Mean Diameter) and MWD (Mean Weight Diameter) factors were used to determine the sensitivity of the gullies' soil to erosion. Using the statistical software R, multivariable regression, and simple linear stepwise regression were applied in order to determine the relationship between soil physico-chemical properties and aggregates stability. Chi-square was used to compare parameters and differences test. Aggregate stability was low on the gullies land, and soil stability has severe and very severe limitations in this region. However the considerable organic carbon is a positive factor in aggregates stability, but high SAR (Sodium Absorption Ratio) and unsuitable land use have recognized to aggregates instability. The amounts of SAR and OM (Organic Matter) and silt/ (clay+sand) also were analyzed in both the depths and showed that the amount of SAR and OM have significant alternation (changes) in various depths and gullies. However, the amounts of MWD did not show any significant alternation in deeps and gullies.

Keywords:

Aggregate stability, Geometric Mean Diameter (GMD), Mean Weight Diameter (MWD), Soil sensitivity, R software.

Article Citation: Akbar Norouzi Shokrlu, Mehdi Pajoohesh and Behnam Farid Gigloo The effect of aggregates stability and physico-chemical properties of gullies' soil: a case study of Ghori-chai watershed in the Ardabil province, Iran Journal of Research in Biology (2018) 8(3): 2473 -2485

Dates:

Received: 02 Feb 2018

Accepted: 05 April 2018

This article is governed by the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0), which gives permission for unrestricted use, non-commercial, distribution and

reproduction in all medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Published: 10 May 2018

Web Address:

http://jresearchbiology.com/ documents/RA0672.pdf

Journal of Research in Biology

An International Scientific Research Journal 2473-2485 | JRB | 2018 | Vol 8 | No 3

www.jresearchbiology.com

INTRODUCTION

Soil erosion by water is one of the most important processes of land degradation, particularly in semi-arid areas is considered. Among the different types of water erosion, gully erosion is one of the most important events contributing to the destruction of soil, change the perspective of land and water resources and lands are regressive (Ahmadi, 2006). Gully erosion, a severe type of soil erosion, is a process whereby runoff water accumulates and often recurs in narrow 'V' or 'U' shaped channels with considerable depth (Poesen et al., 2003). Gully erosion starts with overland flow, which erodes small rills as flow concentrates in separate channels. Over time, rills may develop into gullies, causing significant soil loss and land degradation (Poesen et al., 2003; Valentin et al., 2005). Gullies are composed of several continuous or discontinuous channels and rills with varying slopes, which may later develop into deep trenches, inhibiting effective remediation by tillage (Bocco 2016; Knighton 1998; Thomas et al., 2004; Vanwalleghem et al., 2005). Gully formation and growth are governed by natural and

anthropogenic factors such as: topography, soil type and texture, vegetation type and cover, precipitation amount and duration, freeze-thaw cycles, and agricultural activities (Lal,1994; Janeau *et al.*, 2003; Reusser *et al.*, 2015). Approximately two third of the 3031 million hectares of potential arable land worldwide is degraded.

Globally, natural erosion is estimated to a total of 9.9 billion tons of soil a year (Lal, 1990). Based on the results of the recent research, this type of erosion effect on the environment from two aspects: a) the destruction of the surface and underlying soil horizons, is leading to large sediment production and destruction of the bed, b) exacerbated discharge of surface runoff and reduce the volume of groundwater flow through the short connections between upstream and downstream areas (Poesen *et al.*, 2003).

According to the changes in the contribution of this type of erosion in sediment production in different watershed, the range of gully erosion is estimated between 10-94 percent in different parts of the world (Poesen *et al.*, 2003). The contribution of gully erosion in land degradation and soil erosion is not only lower



Figure 1. Location of the study area

| Table 1. Testing difference within and between data, using Chi-square test | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| Parameters | | MWD | | ОМ | | SAR | | |
| Depth (cm) | | 0-30 | 30-60 | 0-30 | 30-60 | 0-30 | 30-60 | |
| Different test | Within data | 4.74 | 1.50 | 78.3** | 60.2** | 87.2** | 76.3** | |
| | Between data | 19.9 | | 77.3** | | 124** | | |

** The significant level at 99 percent

(OM: Organic Matter; MWD: Mean Weight Diameter; SAR: Sodium Absorption Ratio)

than other erosions types, but also in many cases this type of erosion, is the source of much sediment production in watersheds. For example, the contribution of the gully erosion in Australia was of 37 percent (Wasson et al., 1996) and in China and Belgium it was 78 and 30-40 percent, respectively (Vandaele et al., 1996). So soil structure has important influences on edaphic conditions and the environment. The structure is often measured by the stability of soil aggregates (Six et al., 2000; Bronick and Lal, 2005). Stable aggregates are vital to erosion resistance, water accessibility, and root development. Soils with stable aggregates at the surface are more impervious to water erosion than other soils, both due to the fact that soil particles are more averse to be separated and in light of the fact that the rate of water infiltration has a tendency to be higher on well aggregated amassed soils. In addition to, soil aggregation protects soil organic matter from mineralizing because it physically reduces the

availability of organic compounds for microorganisms, extracellular enzymes, and oxygen (Lützow et al., 2006; Spohn and Giani, 2010). A stability is one of the best marker of organic matter content biological activity, and nutrient cycling in the soil. The amount of organic matter increases after the decomposition of litter and dead roots begins. In fact, stability of soil aggregates is closely related to the erosion resistance of soil and, therefore, is the effective indicators of erosion sensitivity (Guo et al., 2007; Rachman et al., 2003; Valmis et al., 2005).

Aggregate stability in different texture such as clay> clay loamy> loamy> sandy loam, are reduced respectively (Mbagwu, 1989). Clay stability is increased when the amount of sodium in the soil is low and the presence of sodium high negative impact on the stability. Wustamidin and Douglas (1985) in a research reported that there is a negative relationship between clay and aggregate stability. By increasing of Sodium





| Gully | Depth (mm) | EC (m. Semines /li) | РН | SAR | Mg (ppm) | Ca (ppm) | K (ppm) | Na (ppm) | O C (%) | Clay (%) | Sand (%) | Silt (%) |
|-------|---------------|------------------------|------|------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 0-30 | 1.21 | 7.61 | 1.94 | 13.2 | 24.8 | 26.18 | 8.48 | 1.04 | 25 | 33 | 42 |
| I | 30-60 | 1.04 | 7.72 | 3.85 | 15.2 | 24 | 16.32 | 17.05 | 0.78 | 27 | 15 | 58 |
| • | 0-30 | 1.01 | 7.8 | 4.01 | 13.6 | 24.4 | 4.14 | 17 | 0.78 | 15 | 39 | 46 |
| 2 | 30-60 | 2.53 | 7.59 | 0.96 | 14 | 24 | 2.40 | 4.20 | 0.7 | 9 | 69 | 22 |
| 2 | 0-30 | 1.28 | 7.63 | 0.43 | 16 | 16 | 18.06 | 1.75 | 0.71 | 15 | 29 | 56 |
| 3 | 30-60 | 4.96 | 7.63 | 6.82 | 14 | 22.8 | 13.42 | 29.29 | 0.84 | 29 | 33 | 38 |
| 4 | 0-30 | 1.21 | 7.73 | 4.13 | 10 | 24 | 10.52 | 17.05 | 1.07 | 25 | 51 | 24 |
| 4 | 30-60 | 1.74 | 7.79 | 6.13 | 6 | 25.6 | 5.30 | 24.39 | 0.64 | 21 | 49 | 30 |
| 5 | 0-30 | 1.91 | 7.57 | 1.04 | 8.8 | 23.6 | 14.00 | 4.20 | 0.71 | 25 | 17 | 58 |
| 3 | 30-60 | 3.19 | 7.58 | 4.63 | 15.2 | 27.2 | 8.20 | 21.33 | 0.95 | 15 | 19 | 66 |
| (| 0-30 | 1.59 | 7.83 | 1.55 | 8 | 22 | 60.40 | 6.03 | 1.25 | 21 | 63 | 16 |
| 6 | 30-60 | 3.2 | 7.26 | 7.90 | 20.8 | 36 | 26.76 | 42.14 | 0.92 | 9 | 25 | 66 |
| 7 | 0-30 | 0.88 | 7.73 | 2.85 | 10.8 | 22 | 44.74 | 11.54 | 0.89 | 27 | 49 | 24 |
| 1 | 30-60 | 0.63 | 7.92 | 5.17 | 10.4 | 23.6 | 26.18 | 21.33 | 0.7 | 25 | 51 | 24 |
| 0 | 0-30 | 0.69 | 8.24 | 5.60 | 12.4 | 20 | 20.38 | 22.56 | 0.89 | 15 | 75 | 10 |
| δ | 30-60 | 0.6 | 7.94 | 0.42 | 11.6 | 22 | 18.64 | 1.75 | 0.72 | 15 | 77 | 8 |
| 0 | 0-30 | 0.67 | 7.86 | 0.70 | 12.4 | 22.8 | 36.04 | 2.97 | 0.82 | 15 | 53 | 22 |
| 9 | 30-60 | 1.32 | 7.68 | 2.26 | 12.4 | 20 | 29.08 | 9.09 | 0.92 | 17 | 75 | 8 |
| 10 | 0-30 | 2.3 | 7.7 | 4.07 | 44 | 68 | 72.40 | 30.51 | 0.78 | 7 | 35 | 58 |
| 10 | 30-60 | 0.76 | 7.9 | 2.69 | 10.4 | 22.4 | 72.33 | 10.93 | 0.75 | 15 | 71 | 14 |

Table 2. The results of soil chemical and physical tests on gully

Absorption Ratio (SAR), required Electrolyte Concentration (EC) is to conclude of clay is more. Abu-Sharar et al. (1986) and Karimi et al. (2007) reported that soil aggregate stability and soil erosion potential in loamy and sandy clay loam soils stated that increase in aggregate instability due to the high concentration of sodium and lack of organic matter. The amount of organic matter increases after the decomposition of litter and dead roots begins. Similarly, Soil Organic Matter (SOM) is known to play a crucial role in soil structure formation and aggregate stability (Abid and Lal 2008; Chaney and Swift 1984; Bissonnais and Arrouays 1997; Soinne et al., 2016; Benbi and Senapati 2010; Bandyopadhyay et al., 2010; Karami et al., 2012).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The Ghori-chai watershed is located at 48° 06' 37" E and 38° 32' 33"N in the Ardabil province, a distance of 20 kilometers from the border of Iran and the measurement of aggregate stability is considered to be an indicator of soil quality that contribute to soil fertility and its capability to support crop growth (Six *et al.*, 2004; Pirmoradian *et al.*, 2005; Simansky *et al.*, 2008; Liu *et al.*, 2010; Spohn and Giani 2011; Blanco-Moure *et al.*, 2012; Zhang *et al.*, 2012). Soil aggregation may be determined by the Mean Weight Diameter (MWD), the Geometric Mean Diameter (GMD), which is obtained by breaking the soil into aggregate classes

Table 3. The MWD and GMD Multivariate linear regression and the factors affecting on aggregates stabilityby stepwise method

| S. No | Equation | adj R ² | RMSEJ |
|-------|---|--------------------|-------|
| 1 | MWD = 0.067 (% OM) + 0.518 (PH) - 3.97 | 0.134 | 0.307 |
| 2 | GMD = 0.006 (% OM) + 0.045 (PH) + 0.607 | 0.041 | 0.072 |

Journal of Research in Biology (2018) 8(3): 2473 -2485

| S. No | Depth | Equation | Multiple R_squared | Adjust R_squared |
|-------|-------|---|--------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 0-30 | $MWD = -0.12 \left(\frac{silt}{clay + sand}\right) + 0.33$ | 0.889 | 0.881 |
| 2 | 30-60 | $MWD = -0.066 \left(\frac{silt}{clay + sand}\right) + 0.37$ | 0.520 | 0.111 |

| Table 4. The MWD simple linear regressi | on with affecting | factors on aggregate | e stability at differer | it depths |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| | (0-30, 30-60 c | m) | | |

by the wet sieving method (Yoder 1936; Kemper1965; Kemper and Chepil, 1965).

The objective of this study is to determine the effect of aggregates stability and physico-chemical properties of gullies soil in Ghourichai watershed in the Ardabil province. We aimed to use the Mean Weight Diameter (MWD) and Geometric Mean Diameter (GMD) to determine the sensitivity of soils in gullies erosion.

Gully erosion in Azerbaijan watershed, is causing damage to the desirable agricultural land rural residential land, road facilities and so on. Then, it is necessary to investigate these factors in the development of gully erosion in the watershed. Mean annual temperature, mean maximum and minimum are 13.9, 19.7 and 8.7°C, respectively. The average annual precipitation is 318.8 mm and its minimum and maximum precipitation are related to August (9.8 mm) and June (45.1 mm), respectively. The type of climate based on the hyetograph curve, Domarten modified and Emberger were determined cold semi-arid climate in this watershed.

In terms of geomorphology, the Ghori-chai watershed can be divided into two parts North (the plains and quaternary hilly is the location of gully erosion development) and the southern part (the mountain perspective and older altitude is related to Oligocene to Pliocene period). According to the data from meteorological stations inside and outside the study area and based on the map of Iranian soil moisture and thermal regimes, are xeric and mesic regimes, respectively. The number of sampling this study was







Figure 4. Left: the residual distribution pattern; Right: The residual normal in against of the model estimated amounts (PH, OM and MWD)



Figure 5. Left: the residual distribution pattern; Right: The residual normal in against of the model estimated amounts (PH, OM and GMD)

carried out 34 samples in 17 gullies from the depth of 0-30 and 30-60 cm of the head cut and in order to determine the aggregates stability and some chemical and physical characteristics as well as the aggregate resistance rate against water entry. So as to prepare samples and mean weight diameter was measured and the diameter until aggregates to be wet capillary suction effect, why that rapid getting wet is causing disintegration and dispersion of the aggregates (Movahedi and Rezaei, 2008).

Van (1949) found out mean weight diameter (MWD) of aggregates as a statistical aggregation index.

The mean weight diameter is comparable using the area under the curve graph of the cumulative weight percent of the aggregates different sizes. This number is an estimation of the aggregate mean weight size and it presents the aggregates analysis. The mean weight diameter is a sensitive indicator of soil conditions and treatments. However, most available methods are divided to two parts: (1) The amount of aggregates available and (2) To some extent; silt and clay have been transformed to the aggregate. To determine the mean weight diameter used the wet- sieved method, the soil slowly were wet for 30 min by capillary properties

and the air dried aggregates passed 8 mm sieve and then gradually moisten it with atomizers for half an hour, two series sieves of 4.76, 2, 1, 0.5 and 0.21 mm which is equivalent to 4, 10, 18, 35 and 60 meshes, respectively, are placed in a rotating sieve apparatus. Sieves for 30 min an interval of 3.18 cm a frequency of 30 to 35 rpm were used in the water up and down; it was observed that after 60 consecutive immersions, the available weight of the soil in each sieve for more soils with each next immersion changed to a flat rate. It is a result of mechanical friction sieving and this number as a correction factor was used at the end of trial. After dispersing the mechanical components of the same series has passed the sieve and the actual weight of aggregates were calculated per screening. Then dispersion ratio values, the state of aggregation, degree of aggregation and mean weight diameter (Van, 1949) were identified for the contents of each sieve.

To measure the geometric mean diameter, we separate air-dried samples and aggregates 1-2 mm in diameter, then two sample of the 25-gram weighted the aforementioned aggregates and it is transferred on a sieve with a hole diameter of 250 microns and insert the sieve into a container which half filled with distilled water, then up and down of the sieve in the water as much as three centimeters once for five min per sec and it dried in an oven of 105°C for 24h and weighed it. Therefore, sand particles larger than 250 microns are separated from the soil dried. Similarly, drying aggregate take place at the sec sample 25 g in the oven at 105 degree of centigrade for 24h to determine and correct the dry weight of aggregates.

The measurement of aggregate dispersion and stability is considered to be an indicator of soil quality (Six *et al.*, 2000). Furthermore, aggregate stability measurements are an important parameter in determining the resistance of soil aggregates against environmental factors (Hillel, 1982). Soil aggregation may be determined by the Mean Weight Diameter

(MWD), the Geometric Mean Diameter (GMD) which is obtained by breaking the soil into aggregate classes by the wet sieving method (Yoder, 1936; Kemper, 1965; Kemper and Chepil, 1965). Gardner (1956) has shown that the aggregate size distribution rather than a normal distribution is a normal distribution logarithmic.

Computation of stability indices

The Mean Weight Diameter (MWD)

Mass of aggregates on individual sieves was used to compute the following indices:

(i) The Mean Weight Diameter (MWD) of aggregates (Kemper and Rosenau 1986):

$$MWD = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{x}_{i} w_{i}$$
⁽¹⁾

where, 'Wi': is the proportion of each aggregate class; 'i': to the weight of soil sample and ' \bar{x}_{I} ': the mean diameter (mm) of the class.

The Geometric Mean Diameter (GMD).

The results of wet-sieved analysis can be expressed using the geometric mean diameter. This index is calculated the following as:

$$GMD = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i \log_{10} X_i\right)$$
(2)

where 'n' is the number of aggregate fractions, ' X_i ' is the mean diameter (mm) of aggregate fraction 'i' and ' W_i ' is the mass proportion of aggregate fraction 'i'.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the measurement MWD and other physical and chemical parameters obtained from experiments at two different depths (0-30 centimeters) and (30-60 cm) of each gully were analyzed by statistical software R. The multivariate linear regression and chi-square method were used to determine the factors influencing the aggregate stability.

Chi-square test

Chi-square test (non-parametric) is a solution available to goodness of fit test of the nominal scale variables with more than two categories. This test was used to assess differences between soil properties at different depths (within data) and differences between Gullies (between data) and the results are presented in Table 1.

As seen in Table 1, MWD index at various depths of within and between data (0-30 cm) and (30-60 cm) is not significant. It means that soil texture is the same or so did not show significant changes in different gullies of this study. However, OM and SAR are significant level 99 percent at depth of (0-30 cm) and (30-60 cm), because these two parameters in depth of (0-30 cm) and (30-60 cm) in gullies were significant. Some soil chemical and physical tests on gully presented in Table 2 that shows amount of cations and anions in the study area.

The amount and type of organic matter are considered as important factors in stabilizing soil structure. Because the aggregate stability of soils are influenced by quality and quantity of organic matter and soil texture. In fact, organic matter together the particles of soil organic act as binding factor and prevent from the break down of aggregate (Tajik, 2004). The soil properties and especially soil organic related properties were significantly different in terms of land use type. On the one hand, soil organic matter is significantly affected by the land use (John et al., 2005; Li et al., 2015) on the other hand, soil organic matter plays deeply important roles in the stability and size distribution of soil aggregates (Abiven et al., 2009; Alagöz and Yilmaz, 2009). It is suspected that the effects of land use on soil aggregates are driven by the soil organic matter or its components.

As seen in Table 2, with increasing value of Na and EC, the value of SAR is increased as well. The high SAR is caused to created instability in soil aggregate (Tajik, 2004). Therefore, understanding aggregate formation and stabilization in the soils can help to manage soils appropriately, maximizing aggregate stability, which is essential for the success of agricultural systems as well as for preserving environmental quality.

Multivariate linear regression

Multivariate linear regression parameters for relationship between aggregate stability (Mean Weight Diameter (MWD) and Geometric Mean Diameter (GMD)) with the factors influencing on the aggregate stability and related formulas by stepwise method are presented in Table 3. Stepwise regression analysis is another way to regression analysis where they show all independent variables that effects on the dependent variables. According to Table 3, the results of multivariate linear regression showed that the amount of MWD has more correlated than GMD with the percentage of pH and Organic Matter (OM). On the other hand, in the GMD, the amount of RMSE is lower than MWD. Hence, GMD model is better than MWD. According to equation, the amount of OM is the most important factor influencing on the aggregate stability and MWD. Increasing the amount of organic matter caused that increased the stability aggregate, so that supported this discussion (Soane, 1990; Guo et al. 2007; Rachman et al. 2003).

Simple linear regression

Simple linear regression is a measurable strategy that enables us to outline and study connections between two continuous (quantitative) variables. As it were, a single linear regression model demonstrates endeavors to clarify the relationship between at least two variables utilizing a straight line. Table 4 explain about the MWD simple linear regression with factors of influencing on aggregate stability at different depth Table 4. The MWD simple linear regression with affecting factors on aggregate stability at different depth (0-30 and 30-60 cm).

As shown in Table 4, the MWD is significant positive correlation, with the ratio of silt / (clay + sand)at the depth of 0-30 cm (r=88.9%); however this ratio has significantly weakened the MWD at depth of 30-60 cm. As the clavs 2: 1 due to have a specific surface area and high CEC are more effective than other clays on their aggregate stability, on the other hand, a main percentage of these clays are placed in the fine clay soil. Thus, clays have the greatest effect on aggregation and aggregate stability. Therefore, in aforementioned equation, the clay is the more correlated with MWD, in the other words, significant correlation was obtained with MWD (Barzegar et al., 1995; Abdulgadir and Mahmood, 2016). The amounts of MWD and GMD (mm) in soil sampling from head-cut part at the depth of 0-30 and 30-60 cm are showed in Figure 2 and 3.

In above the Figures (2 and 3) *a*, *b* and *ab* are as depth of (0-30 cm), (30-60 cm) and transitional horizon respectively. At times, layers of soil were noted which are very peculiar from overlying or underlying horizons and which have attributes in excess of one master soil horizon, however can't easily be settled into two horizons. These layers are helpfully portrayed as transitional horizons. As seen in Figure 2 and 3, the mean weight diameter (MWD) are variable from 0.14 to 0.79 and from 0.07 to 0.61, at depth of 0-30 cm and 30-60 cm, respectively which is representing severe limitation of the aggregate stability based on Lal classification (Lal, 1994) at lower depths. As well as, the Geometry Weight Diameter (GMD) is variable from 0.84 to 1.12 and from 0.84 to 0.98 at a depth of 0-30 cm and 30-60 cm respectively. According to Figure 2 and 3, the soil aggregates stability in this area have severity and very severity limitations. Therefore, poor aggregate stability has led to gully erosion in the region.

Residuals versus fits plot

Once compute a fitting model, it is used for any of the regression diagnostics commands. Graphs are drawn against the residuals and the values fit are one of them. Residuals are appraisals of trial errors acquired by subtracting the observed responses from the predicted responses. The predicted response is figured from the chosen model, after all the unknown model parameters have been assessed from the trial data. Investigations at residuals is a key piece of all statistical modeling. Careful consideration of the residuals can reveal whether our suspicions are reasonable and our choice of model is appropriate. It is a scatter plot of residuals on the 'y' axis and fitted values (estimated responses) on the 'x' axis (Figure 4 and 5). The plot is utilized to identify non-linearity, unequal error variances, and outliers and enhance the regression. In fact a residual versus fit plot determine assumptions of underlying that the regression model is useful in understanding the most common types of inappropriateness. In other words plots residuals help to understand and improve regression model. If the model is appropriate to point out this chart should 'ei = 0' is symmetrical and evenly dispersed locations around the point. It means that the error variance in this state is constant.

If graphs similarly spread residuals around a horizontal line without distinct patterns that is a good sign it didn't have non-linear relationships. It can be stated that the good model data are simulated in a way that meets the regression assumptions very well, while the bad model data are not. In a well-fitted model, there should be no pattern to the residuals plotted against the fitted values-something not true of our model. According to Figure 4 and 5, the normal residual and the residual distribution pattern in the MWD with PH and OM showed better results than GMD with OM and pH. Therefore, MWD with PH and OM have the nearly symmetrical and evenly dispersed locations around the point and the error variance is roughly constant in this state. On the other hand, there is a high correlation between MWD and OM.

CONCLUSION

In this study, we assessed the effect of aggregates stability and physico-chemical properties of gullies soil in Ghori-chai watershed in the Ardabil province. The aggregate instability is one of the most important factors in the development of gully erosion in the Ghori-chai watershed. To do so, we compared the size of the Mean Weight Diameter (MWD) and Geometric Mean Diameter (GMD). According to the results, MWD has a severe and very severe limitations to create aggregate stability. There are different causes that can be contributed in the aggregate stability of gullies in term of physical and chemical test results on soil samples showed that high level of sodium and increasing the sodium absorption ratio in the study area is caused by the aggregate instability. The results of this study showed that the aggregate stability in the surface depths are greater than the subsurface deep. In conclusion, the high organic matter can cause adhesions and aggregates whereas and high correlation with MWD, as well as the normal residual in MWD have the nearly symmetrical and evenly dispersed locations around the point.

REFERENCES

Abdulqadir AS and Mahmood KS. 2016. The effect of some soil physical and chemical properties on soil aggregate stability in different locations in sulaimani and halabja governorate. *Open Journal of Soil Science*, 6(4): 81-88.

Abid M and Lal R. 2008. Tillage and drainage impact on soil quality I. aggregate stability, carbon and nitrogen pools. *Soil Tillage Research*, 100(1-2): 89-98.

Abiven S, Menasseri S and Chenu C. 2009. The effects of organic inputs over time on soil aggregate stability a literature analysis. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 41(1): 1–12.

Abu-Sharar TM, Bingham FT, Rhoades JD. 1986. Stability of soil aggregate as affected by electrolyte concentration and composition. *Soil Science Society of America*, 51(2): 309-314.

Ahmadi H. 2006. Applied geomorphology (water erosion), Tehran University Press, 435 p.

Alagöz Z and Yilmaz E. 2009. Effects of different sources of organic matter on soil aggregate formation and stability: a laboratory study on a lithic rhodoxeralf from Turkey. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 103(2): 419-424.

Bandyopadhyay PK, Saha S, Mani PK and Mandal B. 2010. Effects of organic inputs on aggregate associated organic carbon concentration under longterm rice–wheat cropping system. *Geoderma*, 154(3-4): 379–386.

Barzegar AR, Rengasamy P and Oades JM. 1995. Effect of clay type and rate of wetting on the mellowing of compacted soils. *Geoderma*, 68(1-2): 39-49.

Benbi DK and Senapati N. 2010. Soil aggregation and carbon and nitrogen stabilization in relation to residue and manure application in rice–wheat systems in northwest India. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 87(2): 233–247.

Le Bissonnais Y and Arrouays D. 1997. Aggregate stability and assessment of soil crustability and erodibility: ii application to humic loamy soils with various organic carbon contents. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 48(1): 39–48.

Blanco-Moure N, Moret-Fernandez D and Lopez MV 2012. Dynamics of aggregate destabilization by water in soils under long term conservation tillage in semiarid Spain. *Catena*. 99: 34–41.

Bocco G. 2016. Gully erosion analysis: why geopedology matters? *Geopedology*, 24: 399–410.

Bronick CJ and Lal R. 2005. Soil structure and management: a review. *Geoderma*, 124(1-2): 03–22.

Chaney K and Swift RS. 1984. The influence organic matter on aggregate stability in some British soils. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 35(2): 223–230.

Gardner WR. 1956. Representation of soil aggregate size distribution by a logarithmic-normal distribution 1,2. *Soil Science Society of America*, 20(2): 151–153.

Guo W, Shi ZH, Chen LD, Li CX, Yan LF and Cai CF. 2007. Effects of topsoil aggregate size on runoff and erosion at hillslope in red soils. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 6: 2516-2522.

Hillel D. 1982. Introduction to soil physics. Academic Press, San Diego. 392 p.

Janeau JL, Bricquet JP, Planchon O and Valentin C. 2003. Soil crusting and infiltration on steep slopes in northern Thailand. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 54 (3): 543–544.

John B, Yamashita T, Ludwig B and Flessa H. 2005. Storage of organic carbon in aggregate and density fractions of silty soils under different types of land use. *Geoderma*, 128(1-2): 63–79.

Karami A, Homaee M, Afzalinia S, Ruhipour H and Basirat S. 2012. Organic resource management: impacts on soil aggregate stability and other soil physico-chemical properties. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 148: 22–28.

Karimi H, Sufi D, Haghnia Gh and Khorasani M. 2007. Evaluation of aggregate stability and soil erosion potential in loamy and sandy clay loam soils: a case study Lamard-plain in Fars province. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources*, 14(1): 1-10 p.

Kemper WD. 1965. Aggregate stability. in: black, CA.

(ed.), methods of soil analysis. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, 511–519 p.

Kemper WD and Rosenau RC. 1986. Aggregate stability and size distribution. in: methods of soil analysis. part 1: physical and mineralogical methods. A. Klute ed. No 9, 2^{nd} ed. ASA, Madison, Wis, America.

Kemper WD and Chepil WS. 1965. Size distribution of aggregates. in: black, ca. ed. methods of soil analysis. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, 499–510 p.

Knighton D. 1998. Fluvial forms and processes: a new perspective. Routledge. 31 p.

Lal R. 1990. Soil erosion and land degradation: the global risks. *Advances in Soil Science*, 11: 129–72.

Lal R. 1994. Soil erosion research methods. Delray Beach: St. Lucie Press. 340 p.

Li H, Han X, You M and Xing B. 2015. Organic matter associated with soil aggregate fractions of a black soil in northeast china: impacts of land-use change and long-term fertilization. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 46(4): 405-423.

Liu XB, Zhang XY, Wang YX, Sui TT, Zhang SL, Herbert SJ and Ding G. 2010. Soil degradation: a problem threatening the sustainable development of agriculture in northeast China. *Plant Soil Environment*, 56(2): 87–97.

Mbagwu JSC. 1989. Specific dispersion energy of soil aggregates in relation to field and laboratory measured stability indices and physical properties. *Journal of East African Agricultural and Forestry*, 54(9): 173-183.

Movahedi Naini A and Rezai M. 2008. Soil physics (fundamentals and application), Gorgan University Press of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, 474 p.

Pirmoradian N, Sepaskhah AR, Hajabbasi MA.

2005. Application of fractal theory to quantify soil aggregate stability as influenced by tillage treatments. *Biosystems Engineering*, 90(2): 227–234.

Research Group on Chinese Soil Taxanomy (RGCST). 2001. Chinese Soil Taxonomy. Science Press, Beijing. 203 p.

Poesen J, Nachtergaele J, Verstraeten G and Valentin C. 2003. Gully erosion and environment change: importance and research needs. *Catena*, 50(2-4): 91-133.

Rachman A, Anderson SH, Gantzer CJ and Thompson AL. 2003. Influence of long-term cropping systems on soil physical properties related to soil erodibility. *Soil Science Society of America*, 67(2): 637-644.

Reusser L, Bierman P and Rood D. 2015. Quantifying human impacts on rates of erosion and sediment transport at a landscape scale. *Geology*, 43(2): 171-174.

Simansky V, Tobia sova E and Chlp'ık J. 2008. Soil tillage and fertilization of orthic luvisol and their influence on chemical properties, soil structure stability and carbon distribution in water-stable macro-aggregates. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 100(1-2): 125–132.

Six J, Bossuyt H, Degryze S and Denef K. 2004. A history of research on the link between (micro) aggregates, soil biota, and soil organic matter dynamics. *Soil and Tillage Research* 79(1): 7–31.

Six J, Elliott ET and Paustian K. 2000. Soil structure and soil organic matter II. A normalized stability index and the effect of mineralogy. *Journal of Soil Science Society of America* 64(3): 1042–1049.

Soane BD. 1990. The role of organic matter in soil compactibility: a review of some practical aspects. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 16(1-2): 179–201.

Soinne H, Hyväluoma J, Ketoja E and Turtola E. 2016. Relative importance of organic carbon, land use and moisture conditions for the aggregate stability of post-glacial clay soils. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 158: 1 -9,

Spohn M and Giani L. 2011. Impacts of land use change on soil aggregation and aggregate stabilizing compounds as dependent on time. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43(5): 1081–1088.

Spohn M and Giani L. 2010. Water-stable aggregates, glomalin-related soil protein, and carbohydrates in a chronosequence of sandy hydromorphic soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 42(9): 1505–1511.

Tajik F. 2004. Assessing the aggregate stability in parts of Iran. *Journal of Science and Technology of Agriculture and Natural Resources*, 8(1): 107-122.

Thomas JT, Iverson NR, Burkart MR and Kramer LA. 2004. Long-term growth of a valley bottom gully, western iowa. *Earth Surf Process Land*, 29: 995–1009.

Valentin C, Poesen J and Li Y. 2005. Gully erosion: impacts, factors, and control. *Catena*, 63: 132–153.

Valmis S, Dimoyiannis D and Danalatos NG. 2005. Assessing in Terrill erosion rate from soil aggregate instability index, rainfall intensity and slope angle on cultivated soils in central Greece. *Soil and Tillage Research* 80(1-2): 139–147.

Van BC. 1949. Mean weight diameter of soil aggregates as a statistical index of aggregation. *Soil Science Society of America*, 14: 20–23.

Vandaele K, Poesen J, Govers G and Van Wesemael B. 1996. Geomorphic threshold conditions for ephemeral gully incision. Geomorphology, 16(2): 161– 173.

Vanwalleghem T, Poesen J, Van Den Eeckhaut M,

Nachtergaele J and Deckers J. 2005. Reconstructing rainfall and land-use conditions leading to the development of old gullies. *Holocene*, 15(3): 378–86.

Von Lützow M, Kögel-Knabner I, Ekschmitt K, Matzner E, Guggenberger G, Marschner B and Flessa H. 2006. Stabilization of organic matter in temperate soils: mechanisms and their relevance under different soil conditions a review. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 57(4): 426–445.

Wasson RJ, Olive LJ and Rosewell CJ. 1996. Rates of erosion and sediment transport in Australia, Iahs Publications. 236: 139-148.

Wustamidin L and Douglas A. 1985. Aggregate breakdown in relation to raindrop energy. Soil Science, 139(3): 239-242.

Yoder RE. 1936. A direct method of aggregate analysis of soils and a study of the physical nature of erosion losses. *American Society of Agronomy*, 28(5): 337–351.

Zhang S, Li Q, Zhang X, Wei K, Chen L and Liang W. 2012. Effects of conservation tillage on soil aggregation and aggregate binding agents in black soil of northeast China. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 124: 196-202.

Submit your articles online at www.jresearchbiology.com

Advantages

- Easy online submission
- Complete Peer review
- Affordable Charges
- Quick processing
- Extensive indexing
- You retain your copyright

submit@jresearchbiology.com www.jresearchbiology.com/Submit.php